This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS*
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,544	09/964,544 09/28/2001		Gan-Moog Chow	N.Ç. 82,637	3267	
26384	7590	06/24/2003				
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY				EXAMINER		
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS) CODE 1008.2 4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20375-5320				SAVAGE, JASON L		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				1775	10	
•				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mx-10					
	Application N .	pplicant(s)					
	09/964,544	CHOW ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Jason L Savage	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30)	e timely filed days will be considered timely.					
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	cause the application to become ABANDC	NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of Claims. Claims Cl							
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 19-25 is/are pending in the application	n						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers	olocion roqui omoric.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application. 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Potent and Trademork Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/964,544 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 23 Applicant claims that the multilayered coated material may consist of a metal-metal; however in independent claim 20 from which claim 23 ultimately depends, it states that the coated material contain an oxide. How can the composite be metal when one layer contains oxides?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated Hunt et al. (5,997,956).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,544

Art Unit: 1775

Hunt teaches thin films comprising nanostructured material (col. 11, ln. 52-65) having particle sizes of less than 100 nm (col. 24, ln. 21-22). Hunt further teaches that the material may be alumina, zirconia, or yttria (col. 20, ln. 51-56).

Regarding claims 20, Hunt teaches that the nanostructure may be multilayered (col. 16, ln. 28-31 and col. 23, ln. 37-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hunt et al. (5,997,956).

Hunt teaches that the nanostructure may be multilayered and graded (col. 16, ln. 28-31); however it does not exemplify an embodiment that is both multilayered and graded. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed a multilayer nanostructure which was graded since it is specifically suggested as a suitable structure by the reference.

Regarding the limitation in claims 21 and 25 that the grading is fine scale grading, compositionally and microstructurally. Since the structure is a nanostructure, it would be necessary to have any grading be fine scale.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,544 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

Regarding the limitation in claims 22 and 24 that the layers are integrated by grading and have continuous interfaces, the mere recitation of grading by Hunt is a teaching that the layers are integrated.

Regarding claim 23, Hunt teaches a multilayer structure which contains alternating layers of Al5YSZ-16YSZ which would meet the claim limitation. Furthermore, Hunt teaches multilayer nanostructures and that a variety of material types may be used (col. 20, ln. 49-57), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed multilayer structures from any combination of the materials listed a being suitable for Hunt's invention.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's Appeal Brief filed 2-26-03 has been noted however an updated search revealed the existence of art that was more applicable to the present application and has been applied in the rejections above. Due to the new grounds of rejection, the finality of the previous Office Action is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1775

8. Any inquiry to this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jason Savage, whose telephone number is (703)305-0549. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on (703)308-3822.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2351.

Jason Savage

6-12-03

JOHN J. ZIMMERIMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER